GEOS 38600/ GEOS 28600

Lecture 3 Wednesday 11 January 2017

Mountains and tectonics

Today: what drives mountain formation?



This Monday: what supports nonhydrostatic loads, e.g. topography?

Last Wednesday: what sets the overall shape of this planet?

Mountains and tectonics

STRESS AND TECTONICS ON ONE-PLATE PLANETS

THRUST WEDGE: MOUNTAIN BUILDING ON EARTH

CRUSTAL FLOW: MOUNTAIN COLLAPSE

Lithosphere definition



Fig. 1.4

Sketch illustrating relations between the conductive boundary layer and the convective mantle, on one side, and various approaches to define the base of the lithosphere, on the other side. The layer above depth Z₁ has a purely conductive heat transfer; in the transitional "convective boundary layer" between depths Z₁ and Z₃ the heat transfer mechanism gradually changes from convection to conduction. The base of the conductive boundary layer (or TBL) is between depths Z₁ and Z₃. Z₂ corresponds to the depth where a linear downward continuation of the geotherm intersects with mantle adiabat T_m that is representative of the convective mantle temperature profile. Thermal models commonly estimate Z₂, while large-scale seismic tomography images Z₃. The difference between Z₂ and Z₃ can be as large as 50 km, leading to a significant systematic difference in lithosphere thickness estimates based on seismic tomography and thermal data. Most practical definitions (except for chemical boundary layer and perisphere) are based on temperature-dependent physical properties of mantle rheology (viscosity) occurs. Layers RBL, TBL, CBL, and MBL are rheological, thermal, chemical, and mechanical boundary layers. Vertical dimensions are not to scale.

Key points: mountains and tectonics

- Know and explain the patterns of stress produced by planetary contraction, despinning, and polar wander
- Be able to quantify the forces driving thrust wedges, and at least one plate-tectonic driving force
- Explain crustal flow.

Mountains and tectonics

STRESS AND TECTONICS ON ONE-PLATE PLANETS

THRUST WEDGE: MOUNTAIN BUILDING ON EARTH

CRUSTAL FLOW: MOUNTAIN COLLAPSE

σ_3 σ_2 ≁ σ₁ THRUST

 σ_1 σ_2 σ_3 angle depends on coefficient of friction: "Anderson

exact

theory"

NORMAL

STRIKE SLIP

 σ_2 σ_3 _← σ₁





structures inferred from outcrop are confirmed by deep seismic reflection profiling

Relationship between stress and tectonic faulting

Vening-Meinesz equations

Assume: thin shell over fluid interior



Planetary contraction:

Stress:
$$\sigma_{\theta\theta} = \sigma_{\phi\phi} = 2 \left| \mu \left(\frac{1+\nu}{1-\nu} \right) \frac{\Delta R}{R} \right|_{P}$$
Magnitude:
$$\frac{\Delta V}{V} \Big|_{P} = -\frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho} \Big|_{P} = \alpha_{V} \Delta T$$

$$\stackrel{3 \times 10^{-5} K^{-1} \text{ is less than a factor of 2 in error for most planetary rocks and ices}$$
Timescale:
$$\tau_{cool} = \frac{L^{2}}{K}$$

$$\stackrel{10^{-6} \text{ m}^{2}\text{s}^{-1} \text{ is less than an order of magnitude integration of the rest of the r$$

in error for most planetary rocks and ices (exceptions: regolith, clathrates)





STRIKE SLIP





Predicted differential stress pattern:

Orange = extensional stress. Blue = compressional stress.



Of the 3 mechanisms discussed so far, which is/are possible cause(s) of lapetus' equatorial ridge?

Assume linear rheology.



Of the mechanisms so far, which is a possible cause of lapetus' equatorial ridge?



Tectonic mountain-building forces are usually horizontal





Tectonics on Mercury

What is the horizontal strain along B – B' for fault dip angle 30 degrees?





Byrne et al. Nature Geoscience 2014

Global tectonic map of Mercury



irregular lines = faults (almost all are wrinkle ridges)

Byrne et al. Nature Geoscience 2014 $\frac{\Delta V}{V}\Big|_{P} = -\frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho}\Big|_{P} = \alpha_{V} \Delta T$ Total radius change ~ 7 km Mercury radius = 2400 km Temperature change = ?

Mars also has a global network of wrinkle ridges

Mountains and tectonics

STRESS AND TECTONICS ON ONE-PLATE PLANETS

THRUST WEDGE: MOUNTAIN BUILDING ON EARTH

CRUSTAL FLOW: MOUNTAIN COLLAPSE

Earth (plate tectonics): How big are the forces that build mountains?





David Rowley, U. Chicago tectonicist (guest lecturer in week 5)

Simple thrust sheet model is relevant to most mountain belts on Earth

Faulting



Figure 8.14 A wedge-shaped model of a thrust sheet.

Isostasy:

$$\beta = \left(\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_m - \rho_c}\right)\gamma$$

small-angle approximation

$$F_1 = \int_{-\gamma l}^{\beta l} (\gamma l + y) \rho_c g \, dy + \int_{-\gamma l}^{\beta l} \Delta \sigma_{xx} \, dy$$
$$= \frac{\rho_c g}{2} (\gamma + \beta)^2 l^2 + \Delta \sigma_{xx} (\gamma + \beta) l.$$

$$F_1 = \frac{\rho_c g}{2} \left(\frac{\rho_m}{\rho_m - \rho_c}\right)^2 \gamma^2 l^2 + \Delta \sigma_{xx} \left(\frac{\rho_m}{\rho_m - \rho_c}\right) \gamma l.$$

we need to determine the normal and shear stresses on the basal fault. The lithostatic stress on the basal plane at a horizontal distance x from the apex of the wedge is $\rho_c g(\gamma + \beta) x$. Since the angles γ and β are small, σ_n on the basal plane is approximately equal to the lithostatic pressure

$$\sigma_n = \rho_c g(\gamma + \beta) x = \frac{\rho_c \rho_m}{(\rho_m - \rho_c)} \gamma g x.$$
(8.44)

 $au_{fs} = f_s \sigma_n$, (Amontons' law)

$$\int_0^l \Delta \sigma_{xx} = \frac{lg\rho_c(f_s - \gamma)}{2}. \qquad \sin\beta \approx \beta.$$

$$\begin{split} \tau &= \frac{f_s \rho_c \rho_m}{(\rho_m - \rho_c)} \gamma g x. \\ &\int_0^l \tau \, dx = \frac{f_s \rho_c \rho_m \gamma g l^2}{2(\rho_m - \rho_c)}, &\cos\beta \approx \\ &\left[\Delta \sigma_{xx} = \frac{lg \rho_c (f_s - \gamma)}{2}. & \text{So, how that bu} \right] \end{split}$$

ะ 1

v big are the forces ild mountains?

Example of accretionary wedge: offshore Japan





Measuring f_s at earthquake-generating depths on active fault zones is expensive RV Chikyu (~\$1 bn) – Japan subduction zone:





One example of a plate tectonic driving force: ridge push

Richter & McKenzie, J. Geophys, 1978 $P_1 = (\rho_m - \rho_w) g(t + e - z)$

After conductive profile has been established: $\rho_p = \rho_m - \rho_w + \beta z$ ("effective density")

$$P_2 = g(\rho_m - \rho_w)(t - z) + \frac{g\beta}{2}(t^2 - z^2).$$

 $P_1 = P_2$ when z = 0. \longleftarrow isostasy



 $\beta = 2(\rho_m - \rho_w) e/t^2 \qquad F_R = \int_0^{t+e} P_1 dz - \int_0^t P_2 dz$ $= g e(\rho_m - \rho_w) \left(\frac{t}{3} + \frac{e}{2}\right)$ ridge push ______ plate slide

Mountains and tectonics

STRESS AND TECTONICS ON ONE-PLATE PLANETS

THRUST WEDGE: MOUNTAIN BUILDING ON EARTH

CRUSTAL FLOW: MOUNTAIN COLLAPSE

Isostasy is not an equilibrium state



Figure 2. Conceptual model of crustal collapse. (a) Sketch of a thickened crust with an Airy-type crustal root. (b) Lithostatic pressures along vertical profiles across the lowland crust (line A) and the mountain range (line B). The differential pressure Δp tends to cause crustal collapse; the lateral pressure gradient in the transition zone between the mountain range and the lowland tends to drive lateral extrusion of the ductile lower crust. Notice that Δp vanishes below the crustal welt.

Liu & Shen, Tectonics, 1998

Crustal flow

Detachment



McKenzie et al. JGR 2000

Gravitational collapse of mountain belts



Figure 6. Long-wavelength topography of southeastern Tibet (created by low-pass filtering the topography using a radial Gaussian filter with a diameter of 500 km). Contours show the elevation in metres. Also pictured are GPS velocities (Shen *et al.* 2005) relative to south China (black). Error ellipses are omitted for clarity, but are small compared with the velocities (typically $1-2 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ at the 1σ level). Shown in white are the velocities calculated using the model we used for southern Tibet (Section 3). The model velocities were calculated using a viscosity of 2×10^{20} Pa s, a value of f of 7, and using topography filtered with a Gaussian filter of diameter 500 km. Note the large and spatially organized misfits between the model and GPS velocities.

Copley & McKenzie, Geophys. J. Int. 2007

The Basin & Range was once the 'Nevadoplano'



Figure 2. North American observed stress. Maps are Mercator projections about a pole at $(15^{\circ}N, 25^{\circ}E)$, chosen to minimize map distortion. (a) Stress observations from the World Stress Map Project (small symbols (from J. Reinecker et al., The 2004 release of the World Stress Map, available at http://www.world_stress_map.org)) and other sources (Table A1). Color indicates stress domains: blue for compression, green and gray for strike slip, and red for tension. Lines on the stress symbols show orientation of maximum horizontal compressive stress S_{Hmax} . Western U.S. state boundaries are shown for reference. (b) Stress values used in modeling, derived from averaging the indicators in Figure 2a using the method of *Coblentz and Richardson* [1996]. Values are given in Table A1. Trajectories show S_{Hmax} (blue) and S_{Hmin} (red) directions estimated using the algorithm of *Hansen and Mount* [1990]. S_{Hmax} trajectories are constrained to trend upslope near ridge axes. These trajectories and the colored stress domains are used only as a visual aid and are not modeled.



Humphreys & Koblentz, Rev. Geophys. 2007

Figure 5. Estimated total gravitational potential energy relative to reference ridge (black contour) (Table A2), as discussed in Appendix A. Contour level is 1 TN/m. This is the same image as the top right plot in Figure A2.

(if time allows – more examples of yield strength envelopes)

"Jelly sandwich" rheology model



Fig. 8.27

Sketch illustrating how rheological failure envelopes (differential stress versus depth) for the lithosphere are constrained. (a–b) Strength profiles for continental crust; (c–d) yield strength envelopes for the crust and the lithospheric mantle. Rheology of shallow layers is controlled by brittle shear strength (see Fig. 8.6) which increases linearly with depth and confining pressure. Rheology of the deeper layers is controlled by ductile shear stress which is controlled by a number of thermodynamic and structural parameters and decreases with depth. Each curve in this regime corresponds to a fixed strain rate. At high strain rates, the uppermost mantle may deform brittle. In some cases, lithosphere decoupling may occur (d). Integrating the shaded area in (b) and (d) yields the vertically integrated lithosphere strength. BDT = brittle–ductile transition; BPT = brittle–plastic transition.



31 Yield strength envelopes for the continental lithosphere (YSE are adopted from Watts (2001); assumptions on dry or wet rheology are unspecified). The plots show the effects of variations in the stress regime, crustal thickness (assumed to have anorthosite rheology), and lithospheric temperatures. The upper row corresponds to the cratonic lithosphere, while the lower row better reflects lithosphere strength in young tectonically active regions.

Key points: mountains and tectonics

- Know and explain the patterns of stress produced by planetary contraction, despinning, and polar wander
- Be able to quantify the forces driving thrust wedges, and at least one plate-tectonic driving force
- Explain crustal flow.

Additional slides