# GEOS 28600

# The science of landscapes: Earth & Planetary Surface Processes

Lecture 17

## Wednesday 4 March 2020

- (1) Count layers (tree rings, varves).
- (2) Optically stimulated luminescence.
- (3) Radiocarbon <sup>14</sup>C.
- (4) Spike of radioactive elements (e.g. tritium) associated with atmospheric nuclear testing.
- (5) Cosmogenic dating.
- (6) Fission track.
- (7) (U-Th)/He & other exhumation methods.
- (8) Shared event of known age.
- (9) The sedimentary record.

# (1) Count layers (tree rings, varves)



Varves: annual layers, most commonly in strongly-seasonal lakes



**Figure 2.** Types of botanical evidence used to identify paleofloods using tree rings. (A) Trees can be injured by the impact or abrasion of boulders or course woody debris transported by flood waters. (B) Flood debris may break the main stem, causing trees do adopt unusual stem morphologies after regrowth. (C) Bank erosion caused by flooding can cause tree roots to become sub-aerially exposed. (D) The hydraulic pressure of floodwaters can tilt tree stems. (E) Changes in channel position or pattern can kill trees growing within the riparian zone. (F) Flooding during the early growing season can cause inundated oak trees to form abnormal anatomical structures within the newly formed wood.

Tree rings – when found embedded in e.g. flood deposits

Ballesteros-Cavenos, Prog. Phys. Geogr. 2015



Example application: mass movements and debris flows  $\rightarrow$  tree death in Southern Alps

Strengths: Well-established, interlocked chronologies for most continents.

Weaknesses: Requires wood preservation (for tree rings). Rarely, tree ring years are "missing" in individual trees.

Time range of application: to 15 Kyr (tree rings); to ~14 Kyr (Cariaco varves); out to 30 Kyr ("floating" varve chronologies, e.g. Lake Suigetsu)

#### (2) Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL)



Rhodes et al. Annual Reviews 2011

Strengths: date sand dune overturn, beach sands ...

Weaknesses: 5-10% uncertainty; usually requires quartz; collection can be difficult (age reset by 1s of light).

Age range of validity: 0.1-350 Kyr with quartz (out to 1 Myr with feldspar)



Figure 4 The rechargeable battery forms a useful analogy to help understand optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating; gray circles show charge level. (*a*) Daylight releases trapped charge during grain movement over periods of seconds to minutes. (*b*) Charge is slowly built up as a small fraction of electron-hole pairs produced by low levels of environmental radiation are trapped at defects. (*c*) After sample collection and preparation, intense stimulating light releases charge from light-sensitive traps, which recombines emitting

UV luminescence, in the form of an OSL decay (shown). Based on an illustration by Duller (2008).

#### Mechanism of OSL:



#### Figure 2

Simple band gap energy model of optically stimulated luminescence (OSL). Light-sensitive (OSL) electron traps are shown in red, light-insensitive (thermoluminescence) traps in blue. (*a*) Under typical initial conditions, low thermal stability traps close to the conduction band are kept empty by thermal eviction at ambient temperature, but other traps are filled; luminescence centers are available. (*b*) On light exposure, electrons in OSL traps are evicted and may become trapped in other available trapping sites or may recombine at hole centers (luminescence centers). After brief light exposure, all OSL traps are emptied. (*c*) During subsequent burial, ionizing radiation gradually produces electron-hole pairs, some of which may become trapped, increasing the OSL trap population. (*d*) Following collection and mineral separation, intense blue-green stimulating light is directed at the sample. Electrons are evicted from OSL traps and recombine at luminescence centers, emitting UV luminescence, detected through glass filters with a photomultiplier tube. As the remaining OSL trap populations falls, the emission rapidly decays to a low level.

# (3) Radiocarbon - <sup>14</sup>C.

Atmosphere contains <sup>14</sup>C (half-life 6 Kyr) due to cosmic-ray bombardment Plants/soil organisms take up <sup>14</sup>C from atmosphere and isolate it upon burial Isolation date can be constrained by measuring present-day <sup>14</sup>C concentration.

$$\frac{N_{o}}{2} = N_{o}e^{-\lambda t_{1/2}}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} = e^{-\lambda t_{1/2}}$$

$$t_{1/2} = -\frac{1}{\lambda}\ln\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$$
(6.5)



Strengths: Precise, widely used, can date soil profiles & fluvial deposits. Example weaknesses: Reworking of old wood, decay of ancient organic matter. Time range of validity: 50+ Kyr New method: Accelerator Mass Spectroscopy Eliminates molecular isobars (e.g. <sup>13</sup>CH) by accelerating sample to >>KeV and passing through a thin graphite foil.



Mark Chaffee (Purdue)

<sup>14</sup>C measurements are very precise, but are affected by several systematic biases. "<sup>14</sup>C age" is not equal to actual age. Ages are reported this way to allow for future improvements in <sup>14</sup>C de-biasing.



Damon et al. Annual Reviews 1978

# <sup>14</sup>C uncertainty is affected by variations in the rate of production (& atmospheric content) of <sup>14</sup>C.



Varying solar activity (De Vries effect):



#### Figure 7

VADM = Virtual Axial Dipole Moment (Strength of Earth's magnetic field)

University of Washington Single Year (UWSY; Stuiver & Braziunas 1993b)  $\Delta^{14}$ C data in tree rings from the Pacific Northwest (*tbin light blue line*) showing the long-term trend (*tbick dark blue line*). Periods of major minima (Spörer, Maunder, and Dalton) of solar activities over the last five centuries correspond to higher levels of atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}$ C. The addition of fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> after the onset of the Industrial Revolution lowered levels of atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}$ C between 1900 and 1950. The middle curve (*dark red*) represents residuals of  $\Delta^{14}$ C, obtained by subtracting the long-term trend from the single-year data. The annual sunspot numbers observed since AD 1610 are indicated by the filled red curve at the bottom.

#### Also: Varying rates of atmosphere-ocean exchange.

# (4) Spike of radioactive elements (e.g. tritium) associated with atmospheric nuclear testing



Dutta, Annual Reviews, 2016

# (5) Cosmogenic dating

Alongside LIDAR, one of the two most important innovations in geomorphology in the last 20 years

- Produced within rock (not absorbed from atm.), mostly by neutrons
- 10Be, 26Al, 36Cl are radioactive
- Depth profiles allow correction for inheritance



Bierman & Nichols Annual Reviews 2004

Anderson & Anderson, ch. 6



**Figure 6.12** Cascade of particle interactions generated by the entrance of a high-energy particle at the top of the atmosphere. Cosmogenic nuclides produced both in the atmosphere and in the top few meters of rock most commonly result from at least secondary particles.

Equilibrium between production and decay for cosmogenic radionuclides with no erosion



*Figure 8* Concentration of in-situ cosmogenic isotopes for a sample with a constant production rate for the case with no erosion. Radioactive cosmogenic isotopes approach a steady-state concentration after about 5 half-lives.

Equilibrium between production and erosion for a stable cosmogenic isotope (e.g., 3He)



Figure 9 Concentrations of in-situ stable isotopes for samples with erosion rates ranging from 0 to 1000 m/My.



Cerling & Craig, Annual Reviews 1994

Figure 2 Mass attenuation effects for samples from high latitude and from low latitude. Data from Brown et al (1992) and Kurz (1986b) for rock sample depths less than  $200 \text{ g/cm}^2$ . Concentrations are normalized to the Earth's surface.



**Figure 12** Compilation of soil production rates versus soil depth showing that bedrock erosion rates decrease as soil cover depth increases. Exposed outcrop samples are shown as open symbols and soil production rates are shown as filled symbols for southeastern Australia (*circles*); for Tennessee Valley, California (*squares*); and for Sullivan Creek, Oregon (*triangles*). Modified from Heimsath et al. (1997, 2000, 2001).

Bierman et al. Annual Reviews 2012

# (6) Fission track dating

(b)



Figure 2 (a) Transmission electron microscope images of an unetched fission track in fluorapatite (from Paul & Fitzgerald 1992; reproduced with permission from the Mineralogical Society of America). (b) Photomicrograph of a polished and etched prismatic section through an apatite crystal, showing etched surface intersecting tracks and a horizontal confined track (*arrow*). The acid etchant reached the confined track through a large fracture. The long axis of the grain is  $\sim 150 \ \mu \text{m}$ .

(a	I)											
٥	0	0	0	۲	٢	0	0	0	٢	۲	0	0
0	0	۲	0	۵	٢	۵	$\odot$	۵	٢	0	۲	۵
0	٥	0	0	۵	۲	0	٢	٥	0	0	٢	٢
0	0	0	۲	0	۲	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	۲	0	0	0	۲	0
0	0	0	٢	٥	۵	0	٢	٢	0	0	٢	٢
۲	0	0	0	0	0	۵	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	Θ	$\otimes$	$\odot$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ø	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	۲	0	0	0	0	0	$\odot$	0	0	0	0
(b)	)		~		~	~	_	~				
0	0	0	0	0	0	Å	0	0	0	ő	0	0
å	å	ő	ő	ő		ő	6	ő	0	ő	6	0
ő	ő	ő	6	ັຶ	ő		ő	ő	0	å	ő	ő
ő	ŏ	ő	ő	2.	ŏ	۸ă.	ő	ő	ő	å	ő	ő
ő	ø	ø	õ	6	and the second	AA	° Car		ő	ø	õ	ě
ő	ŏ	õ	ő	-		10	K	00	ő	ő	ő	ŏ
ŏ	ő	õ	õ	a (	<u> </u>	24	C	<b>K</b> 6	) ŏ	õ	õ	õ
0	0	٢	0	0	(Ja	70 🛛	1	• *	0	0	0	0
0	۲	٢	0	0	۲	° • • •	) o	<u>`</u>	0	۲	۲	۲
۵	۲	۲	0	۵	0	۲	0	്ര	۲	0	۲	۲
9	0	۲	0	٨	9	۲	0	۲	0	۲	۲	۲
۲	0	۲	۲	۲	۲	۲	۲	٥	0	۲	۲	۲
(c)	a	6		0	Ø	۵	0		0	ø	۵	
0	0	•	ō	0	0	0	0	0	٢	0	0	0
0	0		۲	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	٢	0 9	1		0	0	0	0	٢	0	0	0
0	0	0	0 (	÷``.	Ð	۲	0	0	٢	0	0	0
G	0	0	0		` <b>.</b> #	Ð	Ð	0	0	ø	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	÷	and the	æ	0	0	0	0	0
0	Ø	0	0	0	06	•	-	Ð	۵	0	0	0
۵	۵	0	0	0	0	ື	⊕ ``	. (⊕	Ð	Ø	0	0
0	0	0	۲	0	٢	0	۲	÷``	•	$\odot$	0	0
0	٢	0	۲	0	٢	٥	۲	•	1	•	0	0
0	0	0	٢	0	٢	0	۲	0	÷.	ì.	0	0
0	٥	۲	۲	0	۵	٥	٢	٢	0	0	0	0

Gallagher et al. Annual Reviews 1998

#### The meaning of "closure temperature"



Closure temperature varies depending on what's escaping, and on what mineral it's escaping from.

**Figure 6.35** <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar system. The system is open to diffusive loss of <sup>40</sup>Ar at high temperatures, becoming progressively more closed and retentive of <sup>40</sup>Ar as temperature cools during exhumation.

# (6) Fission track. why are these not straight lines?



Mountain belt uplift:  $Pe = L^*u/kappa \sim 30 \text{ km}^*(1 \text{ mm/yr})/10^{-6} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1} \sim 1$  Reiners & Brandon, Annual Reviews 2006

#### Example application: Olympic Peninsula





"strath" rates: uplift of dated marine terraces

# (7) (U-Th)/He & other exhumation methods.





**Figure 6.33** Temperature history of the Speel pluton, Alaska, derived from several thermochronometers. The data reveal rapid cooling after emplacement, followed by rapid cooling caused by exhumation after 10 Ma (after Reiners, 2005, Figure 3A and references therein, with permission from the American Geophysical Union).

#### (7) - Detrital thermochronology



**Figure 6.36** (a) Use of elevation profiles to infer exhumation rate in a steady-state landscape. (b) Expected age-elevation distribution in a uniformly eroding landscape such that the rate of erosion in the valley bottom is identical to that at the crest of the mountain. The long-term erosion rate can then be determined to be  $R/\Delta t$ , where  $\Delta t$  is the difference between ages at the mountain crest and valley trough. (c) The detrital method in which the pdf of ages from a sediment sample in a stream draining this landscape is interpreted in the light of the pdf of elevations in the catchment (its hypsometry). In a uniformly eroding landscape and steadily eroding landscape the pdf of elevations should map directly onto the pdf of cooling ages. Note that the interpretation of the cooling ages depends upon one's assumption about the geothermal gradient, which dictates the assumed cooling depth (after Brewer *et al.*, 2003, Figure 2, with permission from Blackwell Publishing).

# (8) Shared event of known age.

Berlin et al. JGR-Earth Surface, 2007



**Figure 8.** Modeled and observed knickpoint locations on the Roan Plateau. Solid circles indicate the modeled knickpoint positions and stars indicate observed knickpoint locations obtained from DEM analysis. BC–Brush Creek.

Strengths: Natural experiment.

Weaknesses: Relative, not absolute method (though can be calibrated by other methods) Age range of applicability: Unrestricted in principle; in practice, post-180 Ma (for which ~complete plate reconstructions are available).

#### Gondwana rifting



#### (8) Shared event of known age



Scarp retreat following continental break-up is constrained by apatite fission-track data



# (9) The sedimentary record.

method with great promise for the future; see required reading Allen 2008



Foreman et al. Nature 2012

4-6 deg C increase in global T accompanied by 2x-4x increase in pCO<sub>2</sub>

Strengths: Records information about fully-vanished landscapes. Weaknesses: Changes in grain size + channel dimensions have multiple possible explanations. Age range of application: < 3.5 Ga on Earth; <4.1 Ga on Mars (for orbiter methods)



**Figure 1 | Diagram of model domain.** The uplifting catchment (green) is bounded by a vertical normal fault. The fault marks the transition from catchment to fan (yellow). In this idealized model domain, we maintain a continuity of slope and elevation across the apex<sup>15,29</sup>.

Armitage et al. Nature Geoscience 2011

#### Integration and cross-checking of methods.



Landscape evolution: sources of data

#### SOME MAJOR LANDSCAPE EVOLUTION PROBLEMS

#### DATE/RATE DATA ON EARTH

### DATE/RATE DATA ON OTHER PLANETS

# (P1) Crater-density constraints on burial and exhumation.



Kite & Mayer 2017

Strengths: Can be applied anywhere on Mars;

Can give past sedimentation rates.

Weaknesses: Difficult to date steep slopes (small area, distorted craters) Age range of validity: In principle, applies to all timescales.

In practice, restricted to 1-100 Myr for erosion rates

## (P2) Statistical approaches (e.g. crater modification)

Fassett & Thomson, JGR-Planets, 2014 – Goal:measure crater degradation on the moon vs. time. Plot the diffusional degradation of individual craters as a function of the crater density of the terrains that host them. Map the crater density to absolute age using Apollo radiometric dates.



# Key points

- For each Earth-based dating method, know the principle, advantages, disadvantages, example application, age range of application, for each technique.
- Explain the evidence for and against a Pleistocene uptick in global erosion on Earth (two arguments for and two arguments against, plus geographic context)

Natural environmental radiation causes charge (electrons and holes) to become trapped when grains are buried in the ground, as bonding electrons are excited from their valence positions, and a small fraction become trapped within the crystal lattice (**Figure 2**). Electron and hole traps are formed at point defects such as those formed by elemental substitution, for example, where Ti replaces Si in quartz. Charge may be compensated by the presence of alkali ions, for example, species such as an electron trap  $[TiO_4/Li^+]^\circ$ , or the hole center  $[AlO_4]^\circ$ , known from electron paramagnetic resonance studies (e.g., Weil 1984). Although several models have been proposed (e.g., Itoh et al. 2002), the detailed structure of the OSL electron traps in quartz continues to be explored; the Al hole center mentioned above is likely responsible for the quartz OSL emission in the UV region around 360–380 nm (Martini et al. 2009).

Surface processes as a record of climate: Titan

#### **EXPLORATION OF TITAN**

### CLIMATE DRIVERS

### SURFACE PROCESS RESPONSE

Surface processes as a record of climate: Titan

#### **EXPLORATION OF TITAN**

#### CLIMATE DRIVERS

### SURFACE PROCESS RESPONSE

Titan is a moon of Saturn (10x Earth's separation from the Sun, 29 years orbital period) 5<sup>th</sup>-largest solid-surface object in the Solar System (bigger than Mercury, smaller than Mars) Titan is in a 16-day orbit around Saturn (e = 0.03) & always keeps the same face pointed to Saturn. Low density  $\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$  H<sub>2</sub>O, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> rock 10<sup>2</sup> less sunlight  $\rightarrow$  (10<sup>2</sup>)<sup>1/4</sup> = 3x less temperature from  $L(1-\alpha) = \varepsilon \sigma T 4$  $\rightarrow$  T<sub>surf</sub> ~ 100K  $\rightarrow$  H<sub>2</sub>O will <u>behave</u> as rock; CH<sub>4</sub> close to its triple point

Titan's spin axis is co-aligned with Saturn's spin axis Saturn's obliquity is 27° → strong seasonal cycle every 29 years

# Titan's hazy atmosphere was discovered by G. Kuiper (University of Chicago)



1.5 bars surface pressure (kg/m<sup>2</sup> equivalent to 11 bars on Earth)

#### 98.4% N<sub>2</sub> (always a gas on <u>modern</u> Titan) 1.4% CH<sub>4</sub> (liquid and gas on modern Titan)

UV light from the Sun fragments  $CH_4$ near the top of the atmosphere. The fragments recombine and further react to form  $C_2H_6$ ,  $C_3H_8$ , HCN, eventually forming solid soot particles that sink. ... H escapes to space.

TITAN: A SATELLITE WITH AN ATMOSPHERE\*

GERARD P. KUIPER<sup>1</sup> McDonald and Yerkes Observatories *Received August 21, 1944* 

#### ABSTRACT

Recently the ten largest satellites in the solar system, as well as Pluto, were observed spectroscopically. Only Titan was found to have an atmosphere of sufficient prominence to be detected, but Triton and Pluto require further study. The composition of Titan's atmosphere is similar to that of Saturn, although the optical thickness is somewhat less.

The presence of gases rich in hydrogen atoms on a small body like Titan is surprising and indicates that the atmosphere was formed after Titan had cooled off. Similar arguments, though less compelling, may be advanced for analogous conclusions in regard to the formation of the a mospheres of Mars, Venus, and the earth. In 1980, Voyager 1's trajectory permitted a close encour with Titan (at the expense of a flyby of Pluto), but Voyag was unable to see through the haze



# The Cassini-Huygens mission (launch 1997, Saturn orbit 2004-2017) was designed to see beneath Titan's haze

camera/spectrometer filters set for wavelengths in which CH<sub>4</sub> absorbs little

> "Cassini" parent spacecraft (orbits Saturn, multiple close Saturn flybys)

"Huygens" Titan lander Descent Imager + Surface Science Package landed at 10 S 192 W one dish serves synthetic aperture radar, altimeter, and communications linkhuy



Fig. 5.20 Panorama view taken by the DISR cameras during decent.

#### Jaumann et al. 2009





#### Titan has a buried global ocean, almost certainly liquid water The surface is mostly solid hydrocarbons



Diurnal tide measured in the degree-2 gravity of Titan

Amplitude of gravity tide corresponds to a diurnal surface shape bulge of ~10 m amplitude

A solid moon would show a bulge of ~1 m amplitude → Titan's outer water ice shell is mechanically fully decoupled from the interior on 16-day timescales

Speculative models of atmosphereinterior coupling: CH4 released to atmosphere from cryovolcanoes

#### Low latitudes: sand dunes



#### Sources of elevation data for Titan: radar altimetry, radar stereogrammetry, and "SARTopo"



**Fig. 5.14** Global mosaic of RADAR images through T29 with color-coded elevation profiles obtained by 'SARTopo' processing (Stiles et al. 2009) as described in text. Simple cylindrical projection, north at top, centered on 180° longitude

Serendipitous stereo photogrammetry from Huygens as it spun under parachute (1/2)



Serendipitous stereo photogrammetry from Huygens as it spun under parachute (2/2)



**Fig. 5.10** Topographic models of bright and dark areas near the Huygens landing site, based on stereo analysis of DISR images. View is from the east. (a) Descent trajectory showing the acquisition geometry of the images used. (b) Region 1 in bright terrain. (c) Region 2 in

dark terrain. For each region, a color-coded topographic map is shown, accompanied by a synthetic stereo pair showing an oblique view of the images with color-coding according to elevation added (adapted from Soderblom et al. 2007b)

Jaumann et al. 2009

Titan geography: Low latitude soot dunes, polar methane lakes and seas. Few impact craters  $\rightarrow$  0.1-1 Gyr surface age (similar to Earth)



Geomorphic Units							
Plains 📕 Hui		nmocky Terrain	Labyrinthic	Terrain	🔀 Dunes		
Cryovolcanic Candie	dates	Impact Crat	ers	Crateriform Structures			
Seas/Lakes		🔄 Partially Fill	ed Lakes	Empty Lakes			
ISS Dark Areas		- Fluvial Valle	eys	SAR Coverage			

# High latitudes: lakes and seas

Liquid coverage is ~1% of planet surface area (not including ephemeral lakes); >10% in North Polar region.



#### Lakes are mostly in the N hemisphere

**Evidence for lakes and seas** includes spectrum consistent with liquid methane, extreme smoothness (minimal radar return, specular reflection), radar reflections from both seabed+(sea surface), translucency in visible light, shoreline morphology, uniform topographic elevation, & location in topographic lows.



Surface processes as a record of climate: Titan

#### **EXPLORATION OF TITAN**

## CLIMATE DRIVERS

#### SURFACE PROCESS RESPONSE

# Seasons on Titan (= Seasons on Saturn)



Because Titan is small and spins slowly, its atmospheric circulation has weak horizontal temperature gradients (Coriolis force is less important than on Earth).



CH4 humidity 100% between 40 km and 8 km altitude; below saturation closer to the ground.

Table 3	<b>Radius of</b>	deformation	on Earth	and Titan
---------	------------------	-------------	----------	-----------

Parameter	Earth	Titan
Brunt-Väisälä frequency, $N$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	10 <sup>-2</sup>	$5 \times 10^{-3}$
Scale height, $H$ (km)	7	20
$\overline{\text{Coriolis parameter, } f(\mathbf{s}^{-1})}$	10 <sup>-4</sup>	10 <sup>-5</sup>
Deformation radius, L <sub>D</sub> (km)	700	10 <sup>4</sup>
Confinement height, $H_{\rm c}$ (km)	NA	5

#### Mitchell & Lora 2016

Titan's thick atmosphere (high column heat capacity) and weak insolation allow winds to cause a weak equator-pole gradient in surface temperature



Jennings et al. Astrophysical Journal 2016

Figure 1. Measured surface brightness temperatures (blue) on Titan compared with GCM predictions, for five approximately two-year periods during the *Cassini* mission. The error bars are two standard deviations, calculated from the

#### Table 2 Climate timescales ordered by increasing value

Mitchell & Lora 2016

Description	Equation or reference	Value (years)
Boundary layer radiative	$ au_{ m rad,BL} \simeq  au_{ m IR,BL} rac{C_{ m p} \Delta p_{ m BL}}{4g\sigma T_{ m BL}^3}$	~7
Shallow overturning	$ au_{ m dyn,BL} \simeq rac{H_{ m BL}}{\dot{T}_{ m rad}} \left( rac{g}{C_{ m p}} - rac{\Delta T_{ m BL}}{H_{ m BL}}  ight)$	~10
Liquid infiltration	Hayes et al. 2008	~10–20
Annual period	$2\pi/\omega_{\rm orbit}$	~29.5
Troposphere radiative	$ au_{ m rad,tropo} \simeq  au_{ m IR,tropo} rac{C_p \Delta p_{ m tropo}}{4g\sigma T_{ m tropo}^3}$	~200
Tropospheric overturning	$ au_{ m dyn,tropo} \simeq H_{ m tropo}/w \simeq rac{H_{ m tropo}}{\dot{T}_{ m rad}} \left( rac{g}{C_{ m p}} - rac{\Delta T_{ m tropo}}{H_{ m tropo}}  ight)$	$\sim$ 500
Methane residence time	$ au_{ m CH_4, res} \simeq rac{L_{ m v}  imes 2,250 \  m kg \ m^{-2}}{R}$	~900
Milankovitch cycles	Aharonson et al. 2009	$\sim 10^{4}$

#### Strong seasonal cycle in winds ("single Hadley cell")

Streamfunction (kg/sec) 
$$\psi = \int_A^P \left( u \, \mathrm{d} y - v \, \mathrm{d} x 
ight)$$



Hourdin 1995

#### Strong seasonal cycle in clouds (and precipitation)



#### Figure 4

The precipitation distribution (*colors*) from the wetlands simulation with the Titan Atmospheric Model compared to tropospheric cloud locations as observed by the Imaging Science Subsystem (ISS), the Visual and Infrared Mapping Spectrometer (VIMS), and ground-based telescopes. The edges of the wetlands are shown by dashed lines.

Orbital cycles of Saturn ( $\rightarrow$  orbital cycles in insolation on Titan) may explain why lakes are mostly in the N Hemisphere





**Figure 3** | **Incoming solar radiation. a**,**b**, The insolation function at the top of Titan's atmosphere (contours in W m<sup>-2</sup>). Two cases are contrasted: **a**, the present day (t = 0,  $L_{s,p} = 277.7^{\circ}$ , e = 0.054) and **b**, the time at which  $L_{s,p}$  was 180° away from the present (t = -31.5 kyr,  $L_{s,p} = 97.7^{\circ}$ , e = 0.046). **c**, Peak annual insolation incident at the top of the polar atmosphere over the past 100 kyr. **d**, Peak annular insolation difference between the north and south poles over the past 1 Myr.

Surface processes as a record of climate: Titan

#### **EXPLORATION OF TITAN**

### CLIMATE DRIVERS

## SURFACE PROCESS RESPONSE

# Questions about Titan's valleys:

- (1) Mechanical or chemical erosion?
- (2) Incision of channelized surface flows, or erosion driven by sapping?
- (3) Catastrophic flows, or sustained/repeated flows of smaller magnitude?
- (4) Is fluvial erosion due to observed valleys superficial relative to total relief (like Mars' highland valley networks), or the dominant process that controls relief (like on Earth)?
- (5) Bedrock channels or self-formed alluvial channels?

#### (1) Mechanical or chemical erosion?



Ice is insoluble in liquid hydrocarbons

Titan's valleys form continuous networks that span hundreds of km

Burr et al. GSA Bulletin 2013

(2) Incision of channelized surface flows, or erosion driven by sapping?



Junction angles of 72 degrees observed for groundwater sapping (Abrams et al., 2009)

Burr et al. 2013

*Open question: bedrock channels or alluvial channels?* 

#### (3) Catastrophic flows, or sustained/repeated flows of smaller magnitude?

Uniform width, single-thread morphology, large length-to-width ratio

D.M. Burr et al./Icarus 226 (2013) 742-759



(4) Is fluvial erosion due to observed valleys superficial relative to total relief (like Mars' highland valley networks), or the dominant process that controls relief (like on Earth)?



Black et al. 2012

- (a) Relatively recent climate change;
- (b) Image resolution limits detection of river channels;
- (c) Competing process resets landscape (cryovolcanism)?

#### Analysis of river widths on Titan (following Perron et al. 2006)

cPA = Q.

(1)

The coefficient c has a value between zero and unity and

$$Q = whu$$
.

$$u = \sqrt{\frac{8gRS}{f}}$$
  $\tau^* = \frac{\rho RS}{(\rho_s - \rho)L}$ 

steady, uniform flow of Newtonian fluid

$$R = \frac{wh}{(w+2h)}$$
$$R \approx h$$

$$\rho'=\rho_s/\rho-1.$$



**Figure 3.** Contour map of the rate of methane precipitation  $(P_c)$  required to initiate sediment motion as a function of grain diameter (D) and channel width (w), calculated from equation (6). Contour units are millimeters per hour. The gray region in the upper left corresponds to parameter combinations for which flow depth exceeds channel width, a situation rarely observed in terrestrial rivers. The rectangular shaded region brackets the median grain diameter observed at the Huygens landing site (Figure 2).

$$P = \frac{1}{A} \frac{w^2 R}{w - 2R} \sqrt{\frac{8gRS}{f}}.$$



#### Seas are up to 200m deep and mostly $CH_4$ $\rightarrow$ 7x10<sup>4</sup> km<sup>3</sup> (15x Lake Michigan, 55x oil reserves on Earth)



Hayes 2016

# Titan karst: is groundwater flow important in setting lake levels?



**Figure 1.** A) T16 SAR of Abeya Lacus, Titan (73°N, 47°W), radar illumination is from the top. B) Google Earth image of Lazy Lagoon, Bottomless Lakes State Park, New Mexico (33.3°N, 104.3°W).

Malaska et al., 2<sup>nd</sup> International Planetary Caves Workshop, 2015



Lunine 1990



# Key points

- Lines of evidence for a "hydrological" cycle on Titan
- Differences between climates of Titan and Earth and how these are reflected in surface geomorphology
- List and explain similarities and differences between the hydrological cycle of Earth and the methanological cycle of Titan

# Backup slides



Transient

hillslope

evolution

**Figure 2** Evolution of a topographic scarp, illustrating (a) elevation, (b) slope, and (c) curvature. In (a), arrows of varying length represent the sediment flux at each point. In the diffusion model, the flux is proportional to the local slope, and the resulting raising or lowering rate of the surface is proportional to the change in flux per unit length, which, in turn, is proportional to the curvature. (d)–(f) Graphs of elevation, slope, and curvature for 5 times following scarp offset ( $\kappa t$ =0.25, 0.8, 2.5, 8, and 25 m<sup>2</sup>). Modified with permission from Pelletier, J.D., 2008. Quantitative Modeling of Earth Surface Processes. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.